



CORRECTION NOTICE
THE CORY DECARBONISATION PROJECT ORDER 2025
SCHEDULE 4 TO THE PLANNING ACT 2008
CORRECTION OF ERRORS IN DEVELOPMENT CONSENT ORDER

DATE: 5 June 2026

The Secretary of State received a request dated 16 December 2025 from Cory Environmental Holdings Limited (“the Applicant”) for the correction of errors in the Cory Decarbonisation Project Order 2025 (“the Order”), under section 119 of, and Schedule 4 to, the Planning Act 2008.

The Secretary of State has made the following corrections to the Order:

Corrections to the Articles:

1. Part 1 (Preliminary), Article 2(1) - Interpretation

Correction requested by the Applicant: Omit definition of “BPSJ licence”.

Secretary of State’s rationale: The term ‘BPSJ licence’ is used in Article 8 to refer to the existing licence for the BPSJ, as the Article seeks to regularise the position in respect of that licence in light of the different interactions the authorised development could have with the BPSJ. It does not refer to a licence in respect of the works to be carried out by the Applicant, which are covered by the Port of London Authority’s (“PLA”) Protective Provisions.

2. Part 2 (Work Provisions) Article 8(20) – Interaction with the 1968 Act

Correction requested by the Applicant: For “BPJS”, substitute “BPSJ”.

Secretary of State’s rationale: Correction of a typographical error.

3. Part 3 (Powers of Acquisition and Possession of Land) - Article 31(1) – Acquisition of subsoil or airspace only

Corrections requested by the Applicant: Following “(compulsory acquisition of land)”, insert “and paragraphs (1) and (2) of article 30 (compulsory acquisition of rights)”; and

For “for which that land may be acquired under that provision”, substitute “for which that land or rights over land may be created or acquired under that provision”.

Secretary of State’s rationale: The acquisition of rights over subsoil or airspace would be a lesser imposition than imposing rights over the whole of the land.

Corrections to the Schedules:

4. Schedule 2 - (Requirements) - 12(5)(d) – Landscape, biodiversity, access and recreation delivery strategy

Correction requested by the Applicant: Following “delivery of land”, for “to be” substitute “that could be”.

Secretary of State’s rationale: It is not within the Applicant’s control as to whether or not land is designated as a SINIC.

5. Schedule 12 - Protective Provisions - Part 4 – For the protection of Thames Water Utilities Limited - Paragraph 37(3)

Correction requested by the Applicant: Omit “plots”.

Secretary of State’s rationale: The term “access road plots” is not defined in the Protective Provisions, but the term “access road” is.

Corrections which the Secretary of State has not made:

Corrections to the Articles:

6. Article 2(1) – Interpretation, “crossness local nature as extended”

Correction requested by the Applicant: Following “Extended”, for “Crossness” substitute “Local Nature Reserve”.

Secretary of State’s rationale: The request is not considered necessary as it is not required to provide clarification of the meaning or effect of the Order.

7. Article 2(1) – Interpretation, “Order land”

Corrections requested by the Applicant: Following “means the land”, insert “coloured pink and the land coloured blue”; and

For “which is within the limits of the land to be acquired or used and is” substitute “and”.

Secretary of State’s rationale: The request is not considered necessary as it is not required to provide clarification of the meaning or effect of the Order.

8. Article 39(b) - Statutory Undertakers

Correction requested by the Applicant (as a consequential correction flowing from item 3 above): For “land”, substitute “limits”.

Secretary of State’s rationale: The request is contingent on any change to the definition of order limits which is not necessary as it is not required to provide clarification of the Order.

Corrections to the Schedules:

9. Schedule 2 - Requirements - 4(3) - Detailed design

Correction requested by the Applicant: Following “recommendations of”, for “the” substitute “an”.

Secretary of State’s rationale: The request not a correctable error or error caused by omission.

10. Schedule 2 - Requirement 21(1) - Ground conditions investigations and assessments strategy

Correction requested by the Applicant: Omit “Such a strategy shall include a specific remediation strategy, if necessary”.

Secretary of State’s rationale: The request is not a correctable error or error caused by omission.

11. Schedule 12 – Part 5 – For the protection of the Port of London Authority - paragraph 74(a)

Correction requested by the Applicant (as a consequential correction flowing from item 3 above): Following “extinguishment of any right in, on or over, or the imposing of restrictive covenants in any Order”, for “land” substitute “limits”.

Secretary of State’s rationale: The request is contingent on a change to the definition of Order limits, which is not considered necessary as it is not required to provide clarification of the meaning or effect of the Order.

12. Schedule 12 – Part 5 – For the protection of the Port of London Authority - paragraph 74(b)

Correction requested by the Applicant (as a consequential correction flowing from item 3 above): Following “to gain access for maintenance in any Order”, for “land” substitute “limits”.

Secretary of State’s rationale: The request is contingent on a change to the definition of Order limits, which is not considered necessary as it is not required to provide clarification of the meaning or effect of the Order.

13. Schedule 12 – Part 8 – For the protection of REPL - paragraph 108 – Definition of REPL facility perimeter

Correction requested by the Applicant (as a consequential correction flowing from item 3 above): Following “means that part of the Order”, for “land” substitute “limits”.

Secretary of State’s rationale: The request is contingent on a change to the definition of Order limits, which is not considered necessary as it is not required to provide clarification of the meaning or effect of the Order.

14. Schedule 12 – Part 8 – For the protection of REPL - paragraph 108 – Definition of REPL land

Correction requested by the Applicant (as a consequential correction flowing from item 3 above): Following “means that part of the Order”, for “land” substitute “limits”.

Secretary of State’s rationale: The request is contingent on a change to the definition of Order limits which is not considered necessary as it is not required to provide clarification of the meaning or effect of the Order.

15. Schedule 12 – Part 9 – For the protection of each RRRL entity - paragraph 120 – Definition of RRRL facility perimeter

Correction requested by the Applicant (as a consequential correction flowing from item 3 above): Following “means that part of the Order”, for “land” substitute “limits”.

Secretary of State’s rationale: The request is contingent on a change to the definition of Order limits, which is not considered necessary as it is not required to provide clarification of the meaning or effect of the Order.

16. Schedule 12 – Part 9 – For the protection of each RRRL entity - paragraph 120 – Definition of RRRL land

Correction requested by the Applicant (as a consequential correction flowing from item 3 above): Following “means that part of the Order”, for “land” substitute “limits”.

Secretary of State’s rationale: The request is contingent on a change to the definition of Order limits, which is not considered necessary as it is not required to provide clarification of the meaning or effect of the Order.